

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,603	09/17/2003	Guy A. Rouleau	GOUD:023USD1	3929
Michael R. Kra	7590 06/19/200 wzsenek	EXAMINER		
Fulbright & Jaworski L.L.P.			LIU, SUE XU	
600 Congress Avenue, Suite 2400 Austin, TX 78701		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1639	
				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/664,603	ROULEAU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sue Liu	1639			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.	ATE OF THIS COMMU	NICATION.			
 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	e, cause the application to become	BABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 /	March 2007.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	· 				
• — • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 14 and 34-47 is/are pending in the a	pplication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	•			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>14 and 34-47</u> are subject to restriction	on and/or election require	ement ·			
Old Manual The And Off the Subject to restricted	m anaror olootion require	5.11.511.			
Application Papers		·			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in	n Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	en received in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies i	not received.			
•					
	•				
Attachment(s)	 □	Surrey (PTO 442)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice 6) Other:	of Informal Patent Application			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Status

Claims 1-13 and 15-33 have been cancelled.

Claims 34-47 have been added as filed on 3/28/07.

Claims 14 and 34-47 are currently pending.

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicants have added new Claims 34-47 that are drawn to a number of distinct species that were not presented before the first Office action on the merit. See MPEP 818.02(b) Generic Claims Only — No Election of Species:

"Where only generic claims are first presented and prosecuted in an application in which no election of a single invention has been made, and applicant later presents species claims to more than one >patentably distinct< species of the invention, **>the examiner may require applicant to elect< a single species. The practice of requiring election of species in cases with only generic claims of the unduly extensive and burdensome search type is set forth in MPEP § 808.01(a)."

Species Election

- 2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention. For the elected Group of invention, applicants are requested to further elect a single ultimate species for each of the following:
- A.) A single specific selection of either associated with "idiopathic generalized epilepsy (IGE) **OR** "generalized epilepsy with febrile seizures". (see Claims 34 and 35).

B.) A single specific ion channel activity measurement. (see Claim 40).

C.) A single specific technique for assessing the flux of ions. (see Claim 41).

Species A.)-C.) are directed to related products and/or methods. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the species are distinct, each from the other, because their structure and modes of action are different. They would also differ in their reactivity and the starting materials from which they are made. For Species (A), each of the diseases is structurally different from each other. For Species (B), each of the different methods of measuring ion channel activity would require different steps and/or regents that is not required by other methods. For Species (C), each of the different techniques of assessing ion flux would require different steps and/or regents that is not required by other techniques. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

For different species of method, the method steps for each species would differ. In this case, the different diseases, assaying techniques, etc., would require burdensome search both in the patent and non-patent literature. Moreover, the above species can be separately classified. Consequently, the species have different issues regarding patentability and represent patentably distinct subject matter. Therefore, this does create an undue search burden, and election for examination purpose as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1639

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 1, 40 and 41 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must <u>include an identification of the</u>

<u>species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable</u>

<u>thereon, including any claims subsequently added</u>. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,603 Page 5

Art Unit: 1639

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sue Liu whose telephone number is 571-272-5539. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9am-3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doug Schultz can be reached at 571-272-0763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/SL/ Art Unit 1639 6/1/07